

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/785,456 02/20/2001 Hwan-Seong Yu 8733.394.00 5029 30827 7590 08/07/2003 MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP **EXAMINER** 1900 K STREET, NW CHOWDHURY, TARIFUR RASHID WASHINGTON, DC 20006 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2871

DATE MAILED: 08/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)
A) 055 - A (;		09/785,456	YU, HWAN-SEONG
Office Action Summ	ary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Tarifur R Chowdhury	2871
The MAILING DATE of this c Period for Reply	ommunication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COI - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above is less that - If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing to reply within the set or extended period. - Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1. Status	provisions of 37 CFR 1. this communication. an thirty (30) days, a repairment statutory period of for reply will, by statute a months after the mailing.	136(a). In no event, however, may a r ly within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on 17	hily 2002	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .		nis action is non-final.	
, —	ondition for allowa	ance excent for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 16-26</u> is/a	re pending in the	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s)			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,16-26</u> is/are reje	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected			
8) Claim(s) are subject to		r election requirement.	
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to —			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on i	s/are: a)⊡ accep	oted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that a	any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) I he proposed drawing correction	on filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ dis	sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings	are required in rep	ly to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is object		aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None	e of:		
 Certified copies of the pr 	iority documents	have been received.	
Certified copies of the pr	iority documents	have been received in App	olication No
 Copies of the certified co 	pies of the priori	ty documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cl	aim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	119(e) (to a provisional application)
a) ☐ The translation of the foreig 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cl ttachment(s)	n language prov	risional application has bee	an received
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14	iew (PTO-948) 149) Paper No(s)	5\ Motion of lat-	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office D-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action	on Summary	Part of Dance No. 40

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 07/17/03 has been entered.

Status of the claims

2. Currently, claims 1-12 and 16-26 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-12 and 16-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA) in view of Noguchi, USPAT 5,361,150 (provided by the applicant).
- 5. The AAPA described in the present application discloses in pages 3-4 and shows in Fig. 2, a color filter substrate for use in a liquid crystal display device comprising:
 - a substrate;

Art Unit: 2871

- a black matrix (33) having a pattern on the substrate;
- red (R), green (G) and blue (B) color filters (35) in red, green and blue color filter patterns of the black matrix respectively and wherein the red, green and blue color filters constitute a display area where color images are shown; and
 - an alignment key (37) at the periphery of the substrate.

The AAPA differs from the claimed invention because it does not disclose the identification mark being formed in a non-display area at a periphery and near a corner of the substrate.

Noguchi discloses a substrate wherein an identification mark is formed in a non display area at a periphery and near a corner of the substrate (Figs., 4, 5, 8). Noguchi further discloses that by providing the identification mark on a blank portion of the display pattern unit excepting display and terminal areas thereof, it is possible to obtain a display device in which an identification mark can be readily viewed from the surface thereof so that control of product such as process control and reliability control can be sufficiently achieved (col. 2, lines 51-68).

Noguchi is evidence that ordinary workers in the art would find a reason, suggestion or motivation to form an identification mark in a non-display area at a periphery and near a corner of the substrate.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the substrate of the AAPA by forming an identification mark a non-display area at a periphery and near a corner of the substrate, so that the identification mark can be readily viewed from the surface and thus control of

Art Unit: 2871

product such as process control and reliability control is sufficiently achieved, as per the teachings of Noguchi.

The AAPA described in page 3, lines 6-9 also discose the use of a transparent conductive layer over the color filter.

Further, since the method of manufacturing the color filter substrate is merely a list of forming each component and each component must be formed to make the device, the method of manufacturing would be inherent to the device.

Accordingly, claims 1, 10, 11, 16, 17 and 26 would have been obvious.

As to claims 2-4 and 18-20, the AAPA described in the present application discloses in page 4, lines 5-11 that the black matrix (33) includes chrome or chrome and chrome oxide double layer or resin having carbon.

As to claims 5-7 and 21-23, Noguchi shows in Fig. 5 that the identification mark includes a sign and a character wherein the character includes an alphabet and a number.

As to claims 8, 9, 24 and 25, using the identification mark for storing information such as manufacturer, color filter type, fabrication method and black matrix type is within the level of ordinary skill in the art and thus would have been obvious to optimize the usage of the identification mark.

As to claim 12, using an overcoat between the transparent conductive layer and the color filter is common and known for several reasons such as to protect the color filter from any contamination and thus would have been obvious.

Art Unit: 2871

ċ

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 and 16-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tarifur R Chowdhury whose telephone number is (703) 308-4115. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:30-5:00) Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7005 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

T. Chowdhury

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 2800

TRC

August 5, 2003